



BOULT ■ CUMMINGS
CONNERS ■ BERRY PLC

RECEIVED

2003 OCT 7 6 PM 1:17

Jon E. Hastings
(615) 252-2306
Fax: (615) 252-6306
Email: jhastings@boultcummings.com

October 6, 2003

T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

Honorable Deborah Taylor Tate, Chairman
Tennessee Regulatory Authority
460 James Robertson Parkway
Nashville, TN 37243-0505

In Re: Docket to Approve Transfer of Customer Base
Docket No. 03-00525

Dear Chairman Tate:


On September 19, 2003, WorldCom, Inc. filed a Petition for Expedited Approval in the above-referenced docket. An unsigned copy of the Stipulation was attached as Exhibit 2 to the Petition. Attached hereto are fifteen (15) copies of the Stipulation and Verification of Signatures.. Also attached are fifteen (15) copies of the customer notice letter for review and approval by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority.

Should you have questions, please give me a call.

Very truly yours,

BOULT, CUMMINGS, CONNERS & BERRY, PLC

By:


Jon E. Hastings

JEH/th

Attachments

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re	:	
	:	
WORLDCOM, INC., <u>et al.</u>,	:	Chapter 11 Case No.
	:	02-13533 (AJG)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	

**STIPULATION AMONG THE OBJECTING PARTIES AND THE DEBTORS
CONCERNING THE AMENDED PLAN AND THE SUPPLEMENT**

WHEREAS, on July 21, 2002 (the "Petition Date") and November 8, 2002, WorldCom, Inc. and certain of its direct and indirect subsidiaries (the "Debtors") commenced cases under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"). By Orders dated July 22, 2002 and November 12, 2002, the Debtors' chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered. The Debtors continue to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code;

WHEREAS, on April 14, 2003, the Debtors filed a disclosure statement (the "Disclosure Statement") and chapter 11 plan of reorganization (the "Plan"). The Disclosure Statement was approved by the Bankruptcy Court as containing adequate information pursuant to section 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code at a hearing on May 22, 2003;

WHEREAS, on July 9, 2003, the Debtors filed a Supplement to Debtors' Disclosure Statement (the "Supplement") and Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization (the "Amended Plan"), and on August 4, 2003 the Debtors filed a Second Supplement to

Debtors' Disclosure Statement (the "Second Supplement" and collectively with the Supplement, the "Supplements");

WHEREAS, the Amended Plan and Supplement provide for, *inter alia*, (i) the merger of Intermedia Communications, Inc., one of the Debtors, into a subsidiary of WorldCom, with such subsidiary being the surviving entity (the "Intermedia Merger"), (ii) the merger of WorldCom, as reorganized, into a wholly-owned subsidiary that is incorporated in Delaware (the "WorldCom Merger" and, together with the Intermedia Merger, the "Mergers"), and (iii) the consolidation of the Debtor-entities and businesses that comprise WorldCom's local exchange carrier business (the "CLEC Consolidation");

WHEREAS, the Supplement provides that the Debtors believe that certain state regulatory laws, including the regulatory laws of the approximately 31 state Public Utility Commissions (the "PUCs") are preempted pursuant to section 1123 of the Bankruptcy Code and state regulatory review is preempted by section 525 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Supplement also provides that, outside the bankruptcy context, some of the transactions contemplated by the CLEC Consolidation and the Mergers would be subject to the jurisdiction of certain of the 50 state PUCs;

WHEREAS, the Amended Plan provides that the CLEC Consolidation and the Mergers, and any mergers, transfers of assets, dissolutions, consolidations, and other transactions contemplated by the CLEC Consolidation and/or the Mergers, will be approved and effective as of the effective date of the Plan without the need for any further state or local regulatory approvals;

WHEREAS, on July 28, 2003, the California PUC (the "CPUC"), the California Department of Justice (the "CDOJ") and the PUCs and agencies of numerous

other states, including: State of Montana; State of Hawaii, Department of Taxation; Paul G. Summers, Attorney General and Reporter on behalf of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority; State of Minnesota, Department of Commerce and Office of the Attorney General; State of Vermont; State of West Virginia ex rel. Darrell V. McGraw, Jr., Attorney General; State of Missouri, Jeremiah W. Nixon Attorney General; State of Illinois, Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois; State of South Dakota; State of Oregon and the State of Arkansas (the "Other Objecting States," and together with the CPUC and the CDOJ, the "Objecting Parties") filed or joined in a Limited Objection to the Amended Plan and Supplement ("Limited Objection") disputing that sections 1123 and 525 of the Bankruptcy Code preempted their state and local regulatory authority and preempted regulatory review under state and local regulatory laws; and

WHEREAS, the parties hereto are entering into this stipulation to resolve the Limited Objection;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Objecting Parties and the Debtors, by the undersigned, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

1. The Debtors agree to and recognize the jurisdiction of the state regulatory enforcement authorities, including, but not limited to, the PUCs, over the Debtors' operations, including any transactions contemplated by the CLEC Consolidation and the Mergers to the extent provided under state law, and to the extent not pre-empted by operation of the United States Bankruptcy Code.

2. The Plan and the Supplement shall retain language that the Debtors may seek to preempt state review of the Mergers and CLEC Consolidation under the doctrine of implied preemption. See e.g., *Baker & Drake, Inc. v. Pub. Serv. Comm'n (In re Baker & Drake, Inc.)*, 35 F.3d 1348 (9th Cir. 1994).
3. Subject to the provisions of Paragraph 7 below, the Debtors do not rely on the theory of express preemption pursuant to Sections 1123 and/or 525 of the Bankruptcy Code in asserting that it is not necessary to receive regulatory authorization to effectuate the CLEC Consolidation and the Mergers, and hereby agree that, upon entry of the order approving this stipulation, any reference to express preemption under Sections 1123 and 525 of the Bankruptcy Code, or otherwise, in the Supplement and the Amended Plan pertaining to the police and regulatory authority of federal, state or local regulators shall be deemed struck and of no force and effect, and all parties shall be prohibited from relying on such language.
4. To the extent the Mergers and CLEC Consolidation or any other matters are covered by state law and regulation, the Objecting Parties assert that no preemption applies to their review. Where the Debtors disagree, the Debtors agree to seek a determination by the Bankruptcy Court, after notice and a hearing as provided herein, as to whether implied preemption precludes review by any

particular state of the Mergers and CLEC Consolidation or any other matters covered by state law.

5. The Debtors filed on August 20, 2003, an application (the "Exemption Application") with the CPUC seeking an exemption from state review of the Mergers and the CLEC Consolidation pursuant to section 853(b) of the California Public Utilities Code, provided, however, that such Exemption Application is not and shall not be deemed a waiver by the Debtors of any and all claims that review of the Mergers and the CLEC Consolidation is preempted as described herein. On or before September 19, 2003, to the extent required by applicable state law, the Debtors shall also file with the PUCs of Other Objecting States applications for approval or exemption from review of the Mergers and CLEC Consolidation (collectively with the Exemption Application, the "Exemption Applications").
6. The CPUC staff and the staff of the PUCs of the Other Objecting States (to the extent applicable) shall use their best efforts to process the Exemption Applications expeditiously.
7. In the event that the Debtors file Exemption Applications and the CPUC or the PUCs of the Other Objecting States have not approved the Debtors' Exemption Applications on or before November 19, 2003, or in the event that circumstances transpire which, in the Debtors' sole discretion, cause the rendering of a

final decision by November 19, 2003 to be unlikely, or in the event a State which has heretofore not objected seeks to assert jurisdiction over the CLEC Consolidation and/or the Mergers, the Debtors reserve any and all rights to reassert that approval by any of the PUCs of the CLEC Consolidation and/or the Mergers is preempted under the doctrine of implied pre-emption or express pre-emption as described herein, and reserve the right to bring this issue before the Bankruptcy Court, provided that the Debtors shall give no less than 14 days written notice, served by facsimile or electronic mail, to all Objecting Parties, the PUCs and any state which heretofore has not objected and seeks to assert jurisdiction over the CLEC Consolidation and/or the Mergers.

8. The PUCs reserve any and all rights to dispute the Debtors' assertion that the PUCs' review of the CLEC Consolidation and/or the Mergers is preempted.
9. The Objecting Parties, upon entry of an order approving this stipulation, shall withdraw without prejudice the Limited Objection, and related joinders thereto, and may renew the Limited Objection and related joinders if the Debtors renew their preemption contentions as provided herein.
10. Each person who executes this stipulation by or on behalf of each respective party warrants and represents that he or she has been

duly authorized and empowered to execute and deliver this stipulation on behalf of such party.

11. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters respecting whether state regulatory review of the transactions contemplated in the Amended Plan and Supplements relating to the CLEC Consolidation and/or Mergers is preempted as described herein.
12. This Stipulation may be executed in identical counterparts, each of which shall constitute an original and all of which shall constitute one and the same.

SO ORDERED, this 26th day of September, 2003

s/ Arthur J. Gonzalez
HONORABLE ARTHUR J. GONZALEZ
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Dated: September 19, 2003

STIPULATED AND AGREED:

/s/ Alfredo R. Perez
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP
Marcia L. Goldstein (MG 2606)
Lori Fife (LF 2839)
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: 212.310.8000
Facsimile: 212.310.8007

ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEBTORS

/s/ Steven H. Felderstein
FELDERSTEIN FITZGERALD
WILLOUGHBY & PASCUZZI LLP
Steven H. Felderstein
Paul J. Pascuzzi
400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1450
Sacramento, CA 95814-4434
Telephone: 916.329.7400
Facsimile: 916.329.7435

Bill Lockyer, Attorney General
of the State of California
Lawrence K. Keethe
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
Molly K. Mosley, SBN 185483
Deputy Attorney General

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
AND CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

STATE OF MONTANA

By: /s/ James J. Srenar
Name: James J. Srenar
Title: Assistant Attorney General

STATE OF HAWAII, DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION

By: /s/ Cynthia M. Johiro
Name: Cynthia M. Johiro
Title: Deputy Attorney General

PAUL G. SUMMERS, ATTORNEY GENERAL AND REPORTER ON BEHALF OF
THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

By: /s/ Marvin E. Clements, Jr.
Name: Marvin E. Clements, Jr.
Title: Senior Counsel

STATE OF MINNESOTA, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

By: /s/ Diane C. Wells
Name: Diane C. Wells
Title: Special Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner

STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

By: /s/ Kris Eiden
Name : Kris Eiden
Title: Chief Deputy Attorney General

STATE OF VERMONT

By: /s/ Michael McShane
Name: Michael McShane
Title: Assistant Attorney General

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA EX REL. DARRELL V. MCGRAW, JR., ATTORNEY
GENERAL

By: /s/ Frances Hughes
Name: Frances Hughes
Title: Chief Deputy Attorney General

STATE OF MISSOURI, JEREMIAH W. NIXON ATTORNEY GENERAL

By: /s/ Patricia Molteni
Name: Patricia Molteni
Title: Assistant Attorney General

STATE OF ILLINOIS, LISA MADIGAN, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE
OF ILLINOIS ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

By: /s/ Benjamin C. Weinberg
Name: Benjamin C. Weinberg
Title: Chief, Public Interest Division

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

By: /s/ Larry Long
Name: Larry Long
Title: Attorney General

STATE OF OREGON

By: /s/ Susan T. Egnor
Name: Susan T. Egnor
Title: Assistant Attorney General

STATE OF ARKANSAS

By: /s/ Jeff R. Priebe
Name: Jeff R. Priebe
Title: Assistant Attorney General

Verify Document(s)

02-13533-ajg WorldCom, Inc.

Date	#	Docket Text
10/03/2003	9229	So Ordered Stipulation and Order signed on 9/26/2003 among the Objecting Parties and the Debtors concerning the amended joint plan of reorganization and the supplement to the Debtors' disclosure statement. (Nulty, Lynda)

File size is 21186

Original Signature(s)**Document No:** 2503129**Document description:**Main Document**Original filename:**Stipulation.pdf**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP NYSBStamp_ID=842906028 [Date=10/3/2003] [FileNumber=2503129-0]
[a9e953d1098cf89a63a8b1feb8823c40fdf84ebacaea7832c6fdc212080b84a12fe3d
894332dbc39a90232db124b882390c5cc9da1ef24639a3a205ca2be9ac6]]

Verified Signature(s)**Document No:** 2503129**Document description:**Main Document**Original filename:**Stipulation.pdf**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP NYSBStamp_ID=842906028 [Date=10/3/2003] [FileNumber=2503129-0]
[a9e953d1098cf89a63a8b1feb8823c40fdf84ebacaea7832c6fdc212080b84a12fe3d
894332dbc39a90232db124b882390c5cc9da1ef24639a3a205ca2be9ac6]]

The documents signatures are the same

If the list of cases shown above is incorrect, click the back button of the browser to change it.

Please forward promptly to your telecom manager



Month Day, 2003

<Customer Name>
<Contact Name>
<Address>
<City State Zip>

Dear Valued Local Service Customer:

As part of our ongoing initiative to streamline and simplify the way we do business with our customers, we will be changing the corporate entity under which we provide local phone service. Whether you currently receive local service from MCI Metro Access Transmission Services LLC ("MCI Metro") or an affiliate (i.e., Brooks Fiber Communications of Tennessee, Inc. or Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Tennessee, Inc. (MFS)), your service will now be provided by MCI Metro under the terms of your existing contract, which will be transferred to MCI Metro effective **<INSERT DATE - TBD>**.

This change will not result in any additional charges to you and will not affect the quality, features, rates, billing, terms or any other aspect of your local phone service. It simply consolidates into a single entity the various corporate entities under which we currently tariff and provide local service. After **<INSERT DATE - TBD>**, any communications regarding your local phone service, past or present, should be directed to MCI Metro.

If any increase in your rates should occur within 90 days of the date this change becomes effective, MCI Metro will notify you at least 30 days in advance. Subsequent changes will be reflected in the MCI Metro's Tennessee tariffs.

Reference to your current local service provider name may be found on the first page of your invoice. Should you have questions, please feel free to call Customer Service at **<INSERT TOLL-FREE NUMBER - TBD>**.

This change will take place regardless of whether you have a preferred carrier freeze on your service. Any existing freeze will be lifted to effect this change, and if you wish to reestablish the freeze you will need to contact MCI Metro at the above toll-free number.

As always, you have the right to receive local phone service from any carrier you choose, subject to the terms of any existing contract commitment.

MCI provides innovative communications solutions for more than 20 million residential and business customers around the world, including two-thirds of the Fortune® 1000. In addition to local operations in 65 countries, we have more than 130 data centers in 22 countries, and more than 4,500 Points of Presence worldwide. We offer Frame Relay service in 72 countries, ATM service in 21 countries, and Internet access in more than 2,800 cities across six continents. We are pleased to provide telecommunications services to your business as well. Thank you for being a valued customer.

Sincerely,

MCIMetro Access Transmission Services LLC
Brooks Fiber Communications of Tennessee, Inc.
Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Tennessee, Inc.